Oxford Internet Survey 2019

Sample Methodology and Weighting

The 2019 Internet Users' Survey employed an identical sampling method to previous waves of the research, dating back to 2003. It employed a gold standard, address-based random probability methodology, selecting addresses at random and within-household respondents at random.

Sampling was based on a two-stage design. Firstly, a random sample of 200 paired Output Areas (OAs) stratified by region were selected. Then within each selected OA a random sample of 10 addresses were selected from the Postal Address File (PAF).

First Stage. Selection of OA Primary Sample Units (PSUs)

- 1) Sampling points were allocated to each of the 10 Government Regions in proportion to the population in each region.
- 2) In each Government Region all OAs were paired with an adjacent OA that is most similar in terms of its ACORN type
- 3) Within 2), above, all paired OAs with a combined population of 60 or more people were listed in descending order of ACORN type, the most affluent pair at the top of the list and the least affluent pair at the bottom.
- 4) The populations of each set of paired OAs (of all adults aged 18+) were cumulated down this list. Using a random start and fixed sampling interval the required number of paired OA's was selected giving each OA a probability of selection proportionate to its size.

Second Stage

In the second-stage 20 residential addresses within each Stage 1 PSU were selected. All known addresses within each PSU were sorted in postcode order, with systematic selection occurring by applying a fixed interval and random start process.

Within each selected OA, interviewers were issued with the 20 randomly selected addresses from which they were asked to achieve a minimum of a 50% response rate.

Selection of respondent

At each address respondents for interview were selected by asking the person who answered the door if it would be possible to interview the person normally resident at that household aged 18 or over with the next birthday.

A person normally resident was defined as someone living in the household who is related to the person answering the door or living with someone in the household as a partner. In cases where the person answering the door did not know which household member had the next birthday a respondent was selected by choosing the person with a first name starting with a letter nearest the beginning of the alphabet. This rule was employed by interviewers on the first such occasion, and a person with a first name starting with a letter nearest the end of the alphabet on the second such occasion and so on.

In all, only 2 respondents (<1% of the total sample) were selected by the alphabet rule.

Response breakdown

	lotal
Number of primary sample points (PSUs):	200
Total (base) sample addresses generated:	4,003
Total addresses issued to interviewers before substitutes:	4,003
Substitute addresses issued:	725
Addresses not used (In-PSU failure):	451
Total number of addresses visited:	4,277
Successful interviews:	1,818
Preliminary Response rate:	42.5%
REFUSALS:	1,715
Soft refusal	137
Hard refusal	1,573
Non respondent refusal	0
Quit interview refusal	5
NO CONTACT:	725
Cursory contact household level	0
No contact after 3+ visits	725
INELIGIBLE PROPERTY:	19
Business address	3
Holiday home	0

Tatal

	Total
Vacant property	2
Under construction	0
Institution	0
Non-existent property	3
Address inaccessible	11
ELIGIBLE ADDRESSES:	4,258
Final response rate:	42.7%

Weighting – sample type weighting targets

		Britain	Source:
Gender	Male	49.4%	2019 Mid-Year Population
	Female	50.6%	Estimates. ONS
Age	18-24	10.6%	
-	25-34	17.2%	
	35-44	16.0%	
	45-54	17.2%	2019 Mid-Year Population
	55-64	15.5%	Estimates. ONS
	65-74	12.7%	
	75-84	7.7%	
	85+	3.1%	
Region	East Midlands	7.5%	
	East of England	9.6%	
	London	13.6%	
	North East	4.2%	
	North West	11.2%	
	Scotland	8.7%	2019 Mid-Year Population Estimates. ONS
	South East	14.1%	
	South West	8.8%	
	Wales	4.9%	
	West Midlands	9.0%	
	Yorkshire & the Humber	8.4%	

		Britain	Source:
ACORN	A - Lavish Lifestyles	1.3%	
Group	B- Executive Wealth	12.5%	
	C - Mature Money	9.1%	
	D - City Sophisticates	3.5%	
	E - Career Climbers	6.0%	
	F - Countryside Communities	5.6%	
	G - Successful Suburbs	6.3%	
	H - Steady Neighbourhoods	8.3%	
	I - Comfortable Seniors	2.4%	2019 ACORN GROUPS
	J - Starting Out	4.2%	
	K - Student Life	2.7%	
	L - Modest Means	8.0%	
	M - Striving Families	8.2%	
	N - Poorer Pensioners	4.5%	
	O - Young Hardship	5.2%	
	P - Struggling Estates	7.5%	
	Q - Difficult Circumstances	4.6%	
Urban / Rural	Urban – England & Wales	74.8%	
	Rural – England & Wales	16.7%	2011 Conque
	Urban – Scotland	6.9%	2011 Cellsus
	Rural - Scotland	1.6%	
Adults in Hou	sehold 1 person	13.1%	
	2 persons	29.2%	
	3 persons	19.9%	2011 Cansus
	4 persons	21.9%	2011 Cellsus
	5 persons	9.7%	
	6 persons	6.0%	

Weighting limitations

The weighting factors that were applied to each case are summarised as follows:

Weighting factors	Count
0-1.99	1,644
2-4.99	128
5-9.99	34
10+	12

For the cases that required larger weights there are no obvious patterns in terms of age, region or ACORN group.